LOCAL UNION 584, INTERNATIONAL)
BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL
WORKERS, AFL-CIO, a labor
organization,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT COMPANY, a component of the McDonnell-Douglas Corporation,

Defendant.

No. 71-C-152

from the first head

NOV 3 C 1972

Clork

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Now on this 30 day of worker, 1972, this matter comes on for consideration of the parties' application for dismissal with prejudice. The parties' application and consent is evidenced by their signatures below approving this Order.

The Court finds that the parties have entered into a written Settlement Agreement settling, compromising and disposing of all of the issues presented in this case and that such Settlement Agreement provides for a dismissal of this action with prejudice.

Based upon the Settlement Agreement, the Court hereby dismisses this action with prejudice and orders that each party bear its own costs and expenses.

Honorable Fred Daugherty United States District Judge

APPROVED:

THOMAS F. BIRMINGHAM and SMITH, BROWN, MARTIN & ADKISSON

Thomas F Birmingham

Thomas F. Birmingham Attorneys for Plaintiff

RICHARD W. GABLE and GABLE, GOTWALS, HAYS, RUBIN & FOX

By Ruhaed W Gable

Richard W. Gable Attorneys for Defendant

LA THE UNTIME STUDIES STATEON COURT FOR THE SOCKMENCE DESCRIPTION OF OKLAHOMA

CALLUD STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

47.11.2

NO 31 1072 P

Chata

U.S. DISTRICY COURT

Civil Action No. 72-C-302 ←

TLOYD LEE FITZJARRELL and DORDIS MARRIE FITZJARRELL, Defendants.

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

THIS MATTER COMES on for consideration this day day of the plaintiff appearing by Robert P. Santoe, Assistant United States Autorney, and the defendants, Floyd Lee Fitzjarrell and Dorlis Marrie Fitzjarrell, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that after diligent effort the whereabouts and residence of the defendants, Floyd Lee Fitzjarrell and Dorlis Marrie Fitzjarrell, cannot be ascertained; that these defendants were served by publication as appears from the Proof of Publication filed herein on November 28, 1972, and

It appearing that the said defendants have failed to answer herein and that default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon a mortgage note and foreclosure on a real property mortgage securing said mortgage note and that the following described real property is located in Rogers County, Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot One (1), in Block Four (4) of WESTGATE MANOR ADDITION to the City of Claremore, Rogers County, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

THAT the defendants, Floyd Lee Fitzjarrell and Dorlis

Dorres Diozjarrell, edd, on a little day of Abril, 1971, execute

Dorres Liver of the Company their mortgage and mortgage

That is a result interest for annua,

Distributed June 1997 June 1997 June 1997 June 2017 July Jomes & Mettleton Contany assigned said Note and Mortgage 1997 Jurial National Mortgage Association and by instrument dated 1997 July 1972, Pederal National Mortgage Association assigned said Note and Mortgage to the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, his successors and assigns.

The Court further finds that the defendants, Floyd Lee Fitzjarrell and Dorlis Marrie Fitzjarrell, made default under the terms of the aforesaid mortgage note by reason of their failure to the renthly installments and thereon for more than 9 months have base, which default has continued and that by reason thereof the approximance defendants are now indebted to the plaintiff in the sam of \$19,949.11 as untaid principal, with interest thereon at the rate of 7 per cent interest per annum from November 1, 1971, until paid, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

plaintiff have and recover judgment against defendants, Floyd Lee in ren, Fitzjarrell and Dorlis Marrie Fitzjarrell, for the sum of \$19,949.11 with interest thereon at the rate of 7 per cent per annum from November 1, 1971, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

IT IS CONCERN ORDERED, ADCOUNTED AND DECREED that upon the Court of and the Court of States of the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding this contractive that will, with an encisement the real property and one the view of the court of plaintiff's judgment. The run of the court of the co

THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT the control of the control of the control of this the and charge, all of the detendants and each of them and ... persons claiming under them since the filing of the complaint hale in be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, public, interest or claim in or to the real property or any part thereof.

United States District Judge

LODENNED.

JAMES F. CRAIG,

Plaintiff,

-vs
Case No. 72-C-277 Civil

ELLIOT RICHARDSON, As Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare, United States of America,

Defendant.

Defendant.

JACK C. SILVER-ACTING CHEEL U. S. DISTRICT COUNT

ORDER

Defendant moves to dismiss Plaintiff's action for the reason the same was not filed within sixty days of the final decision of the Defendant, as required by 42 U.S.C.A. §405(g).

Plaintiff refers to Rule 6(e), F.R.Civ.P., 28 U.S.C.A. and asserts that as the notice of the Appeals Council denying his claim was served by mail, three days must be added to the period of sixty days and that therefore the action was timely filed.

Plaintiff's assertion is not correct. Rule 82, F.R.Civ.P., 28 U.S.C.A. admits of no interpretion of the rules which will extend jurisdiction of this Court beyond its statutory limits. Rule 6(e) is not available to extend the sixty-day period of time, which is a jurisdictional requirement. Ewing v. Risher, 176 176 F. 2d 641 (Tenth Cir. 1949); Small v. Gardner, 390 F. 2d 186 (First Cir. 1968). Even one day's delay in filing the action is fatal. Zeller v. Folsom, 150 F. Supp. 615 (N.Y. 1956).

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is granted, and Plaintiff's action is hereby dismissed.

It is so ordered this is day of As Endez, 1972.

Pred Daugherty

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, CIVIL ACTION NO. 71-C-56 vs. 40.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR Tract No. 1463M LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,) STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND IRENE L.) SAMS, ET AL., AND UNKNOWN OWNERS, FILED Defendants.) NOV 28 1972 JACK O. SILVEY - ACTIMITER JUDGMENT J. S. DISTRICT COURT

Now, on this 27 day of November, 1972, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, and the Court, having examined the file in this action and being advised by counsel for the Plaintiff, finds that:

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

3.

This judgment applies to the entire estate taken in Tract No. 1463M as such estate and tract are described in the Complaint filed in this case.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tract.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on March 8, 1971, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tract of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$200.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, none of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of March 8, 1971.

6.

The Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tract, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$200.00.

7.

The Defendants named in paragraph 10 as owners of the estate taken in the subject tract are the only Defendants asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. The Court further finds that there was no production of oil or gas as of the date of taking. Said named Defendants were the owners of various interests in the estate condemned herein as of the date of taking and, as such, are entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to their respective interests as set out in paragraph 10 below.

8.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that the United States of America has the right,
power and authority to condemn for public use the subject tract,

as it is described in the Complaint filed herein, and such property, to the extent of the estate described in such Complaint, is condemned and title thereto is vested in the United States of America, as of March 8, 1971, which was the date of taking thereof, and all Defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

9.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owners
of the various interests in the estate taken herein in the
subject tract were the Defendants whose names appear below
in paragraph 10 with the interest owned by each also shown
therein and the right to receive the just compensation for
such estate is vested in the parties so named; and, there was
no production of oil or gas as of the date of taking.

10.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby confirmed and the \$200.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NO. 1463M

AWARD OF JUST COMPENSATION:

Total award for estate taken \$200.00
ALLOCATION OF AWARD: (pursuant to Commissioners' Report)
Mineral Interest
DEPOSIT OF ESTIMATED COMPENSATION
DEPOSIT DEFICIENCY0-

OWNERSHIP, DISTRIBUTION OF AWARD AND DISBURSAL:

Owners	Interest	Share of Award	Previously Disbursed	Balance Due
MINERAL INTEREST:				
Irene Sams	1/2 plus the other 1/2 throu January 1, 1974	igh	None	\$150.00
Vernon H. McClure and Edith A. McClure	Reversionary interest in 1, would have ves January 1, 197	/2 sted	None	\$ 50.00

11.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the Clerk of this Court shall forthwith disburse the award for the subject tract from the deposit on hand by paying each owner his balance due as shown above in paragraph 10.

/s/ Luther Bohanon
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Jack M. Short

JACK M. SHORT Assistant United States Attorney

JOHN ONG,)					
	Plaintiff,)					
vs.) NO.	72 - C	-18	35		
GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, a foreign corporation,))	F	Í	L	E	D
	Defendant.)		NOV	28	1972) •
		JACK C	SILV	er –	ACTI	(Ç	Clerk
	ORDER		Ü.	S. D	ISTR	ICT, (COURT

The Court having reconsidered its Order of November 7, 1972, filed November 13, 1972, upon the Application for Dismissal Without Prejudice filed by the Plaintiff on November 6, 1972, and being fully advised in the premises finds that the terms in said Order should be deleted and held for naught, and the Application for Dismissal granted without terms.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that this cause of action be and it is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

Dated this 28 Lday of November, 1972, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JOHN E. BARBRE and TEN-B CORP., an Oklahoma corporation,)
Plaintiffs,)
vs.) No. 71 - C - 260
FIRST GENERAL RESOURCES COMPANY, formerly First General Real Estate & Resources Trust, formerly First General Real Estate Trust, a foreign corporation,	
Defendant.) JACK C. SILVER-ACTING
ORDER OF DIS	MISSAL
Now on this day of	, 1972, this matter comes
on for hearing before the Court upon the app	lication of the plaintiffs herein for
an Order dismissing with prejudice the abov	e styled cause and the Court,
having examined the files and records, finds	s that the plaintiffs herein have
previously filed a dismissal with prejudice a	and a release of all claims against
said defendant.	
IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJU	UDGED AND DECREED that said
cause should be, and the same is hereby, di	smissed with prejudice to
subsequent prosecution of said cause.	
	TO COME TO A COMPANY TO THE SECOND OF THE SE
	JDGE OF THE FEDERAL STRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 72-C-213

vs.

FILED IN OPEN COURT

11 · 2 8 1972

LEROY DALE HINES,

Defendant.)

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

NOW, on this _______ day of November, 1972, there came on for consideration the motion of the plaintiff, United States States of America, for default judgment, it appearing the complaint and summons in this action were served on the defendant, Leroy Dale Hines, on June 21, 1972, as appears from the Marshal's return of service of said summons; that the time within which the defendant may answer or otherwise move as to the complaint has expired; that the defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and that the time for the defendant to answer or otherwise move has not been extended, as appears from the Affidavit of the plaintiff filed herein on July 20, 1972.

The Court finds that plaintiff's claim against the defendant is for a sum certain or for a sum which can by computation be made certain.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT the plaintiff, United States of America, recover judgment against the defendant, Leroy Dale Hines, in the amount prayed for in the complaint, to-wit, \$774,540.71, plus interest according to law and costs of this action.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SAMMIE A. RIGGS,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) NO. 69-C-272
BRITISH COMMONWEALTH CORPORATION, A Texas	
Corporation; JAMES LOVELL; W. N. WRAY, Jr.; and IVAN HALL,) 10.190 #99
Defendants.))

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

On this the Landau of October, 1972, appeared before the Court Sammie A. Riggs, Plaintiff; British Commonwealth Corporation, Defendant; and James Lovell, Defendant, by and through their attorneys of record, and announced that all matters in controversy in Plaintiff's suit herein have been settled as between Plaintiff Sammie A. Riggs and Defendants British Commonwealth Corporation and James Lovell and that a full and complete settlement of all issues and controversies herein sued upon as between Plaintiff Sammie A. Riggs and Defendants British Commonwealth Corpration and James Lovell has been reached; and Plaintiff's suit herein against Defendants British Commonwealth Corporation and James Lovell should be dismissed with prejudice to refiling the same at any time in the future, and this Court finding that said cause should be so dismissed and settled,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the above styled and numbered cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to refiling of the same at any time in the future as to the issues and controversies between Plaintiff Sammie A. Riggs and Defendants British Commonwealth Corporation and James Lovell, and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall bear its own court costs and discovery and deposition costs.

SIGNED AND ENTERED this 20 day of October, 1972.

United States District Judge

APPROVED:

Stan P. Doyle

Attorney for Plaintiff

STROUD & SMITH

Robert W. Ryan L. By: Robert W. Ryan, Jr.

Attorneys for British Commonwealth

Corporation and James Lovell

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

vs.

30.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR)
LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,)
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND HEIRS OF)
BIRD MOCKICIN, ET AL., AND)
UNKNOWN OWNERS,

Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 71-C-287

Tract Nos. 1677M and 1678M

NOV 2 1 (972

JUDGMENT

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

1.

Now, on this A day of November, 1972, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 31, 1972, and the Court, having examined the file in this action and being advised by counsel for the Plaintiff, finds that:

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

З.

This judgment applies to the entire estate taken in Tract Nos. 1677M and 1678M as such estate and tracts are described in the Complaint filed in this case.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tracts.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on August 9, 1971, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tracts of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$240.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, none of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of August 9, 1971.

6.

The Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 31, 1972, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tracts, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$450.00.

7.

The Defendants named in paragraph 11 as owners of the estate taken in the subject tracts are the only Defendants asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. Said named Defendants were the owners of various interests in the estate condemned herein as of the date of taking and, as such, are entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to their respective interests as set out in paragraph 11 below.

8.

This judgment creates a deficiency between the amount deposited as estimated just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tracts and the amount fixed by the Commission and adopted by the Court as just compensation; therefore, a

sum of money sufficient to cover such deficiency should be deposited by the Government. This deficiency is set out below in paragraph 11.

9.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that the United States of America has the right,
power and authority to condemn for public use the subject tracts,
as they are described in the Complaint filed herein, and such
property, to the extent of the estate described in such Complaint,
is condemned and title thereto is vested in the United States
of America, as of August 9, 1971, which was the date of taking
thereof, and all Defendants herein and all other persons are
forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

10.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owners
of the various interests in the estate taken herein in the
subject tracts were the Defendants whose names appear below
in paragraph 11 with the interest owned by each also shown
therein and the right to receive the just compensation for
such estate is vested in the parties so named.

11.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 31, 1972, is hereby confirmed and the \$450.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NOS. 1677M and 1678M

AWARD OF JUST COMPENSATION:

Total award for estate taken \$450.00
ALLOCATION OF AWARD: (pursuant to Commissioners' Report)
Mineral Interest \$450.00
DEPOSIT OF ESTIMATED COMPENSATION
DEPOSIT DEFICIENCY
plus 6% Interest from August 9, 1971.

OWNERSHIP, DISTRIBUTION OF AWARD AND DISBURSAL:

Owners	Interest	Share of Award	Previously Balanc Disbursed Due	:e
MINERAL INTEREST:				
Lylie Mockicin Guess	1/4		None \$112.5 Plus 1/4 of accrued in terest from 8-9-71.	
Tennie Belle Gibson	1/4	\$112.50	None \$112.5 Plus 1/4 of accrued in terest from 8-9-71.	
Joe O'Fields	1/12	\$ 37.50	None \$ 37.5 Plus 1/12 of accrued i terest from 8-9-71.	50 in-
Ina Lou O'Fields	1/24	\$ 18.75	None \$ 18.7 Plus 1/24 of accrued i terest from 8-9-71.	75 Ln-
Samuel W. O'Fields	1/24	\$ 18.75	None \$ 18.7 Plus 1/24 of accrued in terest from 8-9-71.	75 i.n-
Anna Marie O'Fields	1/24	\$ 18.75	None \$ 18.3 Plus 1/24 of accrued : terest from 8-9-71.	75 in-
Mary Allen O'Fields	1/24	\$ 18.75	None \$ 18.7 Plus 1/24 of accrued terest from 8-9-71.	75 in-
Walker Cochran	1/12	\$ 37.50	None \$ 37. Plus 1/12 of accrued terest from 8-9-71.	
Deborah Kay Cochran a/k/a Deborah Kay Gue	1/12 ss	\$ 37.50	None \$ 37. Plus 1/12 of accrued terest from 8-9-71.	
William Bird Cochran a/k/a William Bird Gu	1/12 ess	\$ 37.50	None \$ 37. Plus 1/12 of accrued terest from 8-9-71.	
ALL RESTRICTED INDIANS		(TOTAL)	\$450.	00

12.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America shall pay into the Registry of this Court for the benefit of the owners the deposit deficiency for the subject tracts as shown in paragraph 11 in the amount of \$210.00 together with interest on such deficiency at the rate of 6% per annum from August 9, 1971, until the date of

deposit of such deficiency sum; and such sum shall be placed in the deposit for the subject tracts in this action.

13.

by paragraph 11 above has been made by the Plaintiff, the Clerk of this Court shall then disburse, from the deposit in this case, the balance due the respective owners plus their pro-rata share of the accrued interest, according to the schedule in paragraph 11 above, by issuing checks payable to the AREA DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS, MUSKOGEE AREA OFFICE, for deposit in the individual money account of the parties named above in paragraph 11.

/s/ Fred Daugherty
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Jack M. Short

JACK M. SHORT Assistant United States Attorney

ECONO-THERM CORPORATION, an Oklahoma corporation, plaintiff, by the second seco

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

On this day of ectober, 1972, comes the plaintiff by its attorney, John J. Livingston, the defendant Fluor Corporation by its attorneys, William Scheurich and John M. Sharp, and the defendant American Boiler & Tank Co. by its attorney, Patrick O. Waddelf, and the parties having filed a Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice herein.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all causes of action herein, be dismissed with prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiff.

(Signed) ALLEN E. BARROW

United States District Court Judge

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

John J. Livingston
Attorney for Econo-Therm Corporation

William Scheefich
Attorney for Fluor Corporation,

Patrick O. Waddell
Attorney for American Boiler & Tank Co.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE CHASE MANHATTAN BANK (national association), EXECUTOR of the estate of ROBERT H. SNYDER, ROBERT J. KENNEDY, CLARENCE O. DIMMOCK, CHARLES A. VAN PATTEN, ANDRE W. G. NEWBURG, G. L. SANDERS, JULIA T. PICKENS, DR. LEE DAVENPORT, MUNICIPAL SECURITIES COMPANY, INC. and WILLIAM KENNEDY. Plaintiffs, NO. 72-C-385 VS. HOME-STAKE PRODUCTION COMPANY, HOME-STAKE 1964 PROGRAM OPERATING CORPORATION, HOME-STAKE 1965 PROGRAM OPERATING CORPORATION, HOME-STAKE 1966 PROGRAM OPERATING CORPORATION, HOME-STAKE 1967 PROGRAM OPERATING CORPORATION, HOME-STAKE 1968 PROGRAM OPERATING CORPORATION, HOME-STAKE 1969 PROGRAM OPERATING CORPORATION, and ROBERT S. TRIPPET, NOV 2 1972 Defendants. lerk

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

U. S. DESTRICT COMES

Now on this day of November, 1972, there comes on before the court for its consideration the "Joint Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice", filed herein by the parties to this civil action pursuant to Rule 41(a) of The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Said joint stipulation is signed by the attorneys of record for the plaintiffs and the defendants.

Whereupon, it is the order of the court that the above captioned civil action is hereby dismissed with prejudice with the respective parties to bear their own costs herein incurred.

Attorney for Defendants

(Signed) ALLEN E. BARROW

Judge

Attorney for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

40.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR)
LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,)
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND EDNA)
COUCH, ET AL., AND UNKNOWN)
OWNERS,

Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 70-C-381

Tract No. 1048M

(ROYALTY INTEREST - 1/8 and OVERRIDING ROYALTY INTEREST 1/16 ONLY)

1NOV 2 0 1972

JUDGMENT

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

1.

Now, on this 20 day of November, 1972, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 18, 1972, and the Court, after having examined the file in this action and being advised by counsel for the Plaintiff, finds that:

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

3.

This judgment applies only to the royalty and over-riding royalty interests in the estate taken in Tract No. 1048M as such estate and tract are described in the Complaint filed in this case because judgment was entered on the working interest therein on July 31, 1972.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure, on all marties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tract.

5.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on December 9, 1970, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tract of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$560.00 for the royalty interest and \$40.00 for the overriding royalty interest in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking thereof in said estate, none of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of December 9, 1970.

6.

The Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 18, 1972, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tract, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the royalty interest and the overriding royalty interest in the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$1,437.00 for the royalty interest and \$479.00 for the overriding royalty interest.

7.

The Defendants named in paragraph 11 as owners of the estate taken in the subject tract are the only Defendants asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. The Court further finds that there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on this tract on the date of taking. Said named Defendants were the owners of various interests in the estate condemned herein as of the

date of taking and, as such, are entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to their respective interests as set out in paragraph 11 below.

8.

This judgment creates a deficiency between the amount deposited as estimated just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract and the amount fixed by the Commission and adopted by the Court as just compensation; therefore, a sum of money sufficient to cover such deficiency should be deposited by the Government. This deficiency is set out below in paragraph 11.

9.

THE COURT that the United States of America has the right, power and authority to condemn for public use the subject tract, as it is described in the Complaint filed herein, and such property, to the extent of the royalty interest and overriding royalty interest in the estate described in such Complaint, is condemned and title thereto is vested in the United States of America, as of December 9, 1970, which was the date of taking thereof, and all Defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

10.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owners of the royalty and overriding royalty interests in the estate taken herein in the subject tract were the Defendants whose names appear below in paragraph 11 with the interest owned by each also shown therein and the right to receive the just compensation for such interest in the estate is vested in the parties so named; and, there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on this tract on the date of taking.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 18, 1972, is hereby confirmed and the \$1,916.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the royalty and overriding royalty interests in the estate taken in the subject tract, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NO. 1048M

AWARD OF JUST COMPENSATION:

Total award for the royalty and overriding royalty interests in the estate taken \$1,916.00

ALLOCATION OF AWARD:

(pursuant to Commissioners' Report)

DEPOSIT OF ESTIMATED COMPENSATION 600.00

OWNERSHIP, DISTRIBUTION OF AWARD AND DISBURSAL:

Owners	Interest	Share of Award	Previously Disbursed	Balance Due
ROYALTY INTEREST	<u>: (1/8)</u> :			
Edna Couch	A11	\$1,437.00	r o c	\$1,437.00 lus pro- ata share f the ac- rued in- erest
OVERRIDING ROYAL	TY INTEREST (1/	<u> 16)</u> :		

A.	C.	Thomas	A11	\$ 479.00	None	\$	479.00
						plus	pro-
						rata	share
						of the	he ac-
						crue	d in-

terest

12.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America shall pay into the Registry of this

Court for the benefit of the owners the deposit deficiency for the subject tract as shown in paragraph 11 in the amount of \$1,316.00 together with interest on such deficiency at the rate of 6% per annum from December 9, 1970, until the date of deposit of such deficiency sum; and such sum shall be placed in the deposit for the subject tract in this action.

13.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that when the deposit required by paragraph 12 above has been made by the Plaintiff, the Clerk of this Court shall then disburse, from the deposit in this case, the balance due the respective owners with their pro-rata share of the accrued interest, according to the schedule in paragraph 11 above.

/s/ Fred Daugherty
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Jack M. Short

JACK M. SHORT Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR MORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

GARY M. SMITH, on behalf of)
himself and on behalf of all)
other persons similarly)
situated,)

Plaintiff,

VB.

No. 72-C-368

SEARS, ROEBUCK, INC., d/b/a Sears, Roebuck and Company,

Defendant.

ETIPULATION

Comes now James H. Werner, attorney for petitioner
Gary M. Smith, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other
persons similarly situated, plaintiff herein and stipulates by
and with David R. Milsten, of the law firm of Milsten and
Morehead, attorneys for defendant, Sears, Roebuck & Company, Inc.
d/b/s Sears, Roebuck and Company, the defendant, that this cause
may be dismissed with prejudice to any future action.

James H. Werner,

Attorney for Petitioner Gary M. Smith

Milsten and Morehead

Attorney for Sears, Roebuck and Company

ORDER

The Stipulation of the plaintiff and defendant by and through their respective counsel of record having been duly considered, it is the order of this Court that the petition filed

J. C. PENNEY COMPANY, INC., Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 72-C-326

TODDLIN' TIME, INC.,

NOV 1 7 1972

Defendant.

FILED

JUDGMENT

U. S. DISTRICT COUPT

This matter comes on for hearing this / day of Reventer , 1972, upon the Complaint and the Consent to Entry of Judgment filed by the defendant. The parties are represented by their attorneys of record, Richard W. Gable of Gable, Gotwals, Hays, Rubin & Fox for J. C. Penney Company, Inc., and James R. Head of Head & Johnson for Toddlin' Time, Inc. Based upon the Complaint and the Consent to Entry of Judgment, the Court finds that the allegations of the Complaint are true and correct and that the plaintiff is entitled to judgment as prayed for.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

The defendant, Toddlin' Time, Inc., a corporation, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with them, are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from displaying for sale, offering for sale, advertising for sale, selling, or transporting or causing to be transported in commerce for purposes of distribution or sale any merchandise which bears upon it or upon its labeling or packaging the name or trademark TODDLIN' TIME, or any name or trademark confusingly similar to plaintifi's trademark TODDLETIME. Defendant may utilize the word TODDLIN' so long as it is not used in conjunction with the word TIME or any other word or words which would result in a name or trademark confusingly similar to plaintiff's trademark TODDLETIME.

- 2. Defendant is hereby ordered to take those steps necessary to change its corporate name to one not including TODDLIN' TIME or any word or combination of words confusingly similar to plaintiff's trademark TODDLETIME. Defendant may utilize the word TODDLIN' so long as it is not used in conjunction with the word TIME or any other word or words which would result in a name or trademark confusingly similar to plaintiff's trademark TODDLETIME.
- 3. Defendant is hereby ordered to deliver up to destruction all labels, signs, prints, packages, wrappers, receptacles and advertisements bearing the name or trademark TODDLIN' TIME.
- 4. To permit an orderly changeover, defendant shall be permitted to produce until January 1, 1973, garments with the TODDLIN' TIME label but only for the purpose of filling orders received before November 10, 1972. Garments so produced may be delivered within a reasonable period of time thereafter depending upon customer needs. This permission is for the purpose of an orderly changeover and defendant shall use its best efforts to avoid the necessity of making deliveries after January 1, 1973, and shall not invoke the provisions of this paragraph otherwise in good faith.
- 5. Plaintiff shall pay to defendant the sum of \$1,400.00, the amount required for replacement of and removal of all items having "TODDLIN' TIME" thereon.
- 6. This decree shall be considered full payment of any damages, each party to bear its own costs.

Honorable Luther Bohanon United States District Judge APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

Richard W. Gable of

Gable, Gotwals, Hays, Rubin & Fox 2010 Fourth National Bank Building

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119

| Telephone No. (918) 582-9201

Allan D. Shafter
John Henry Andrew
Barbara E. Schur
1301 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10019
Telephone No. (212) 957-7592
Of Counsel

Attorneys for J. C. Penney Company, Inc.

James R. Head of Head & Johnson

424 Beacon Building Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

Telephone No. (918) 584-4187

Attorneys for Toddlin' Time, Inc.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

VS.

50.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,) STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND ORIE T. PRICE, ET AL., AND UNKNOWN OWNERS,

Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 70-C-335

Tract No. 1336M

JUDGHENT

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

day of November, 1972, this matter NOW, on this comes on for disposition on application of Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on a Stipulation As To Just Compensation, and the Court, after having examined the files in this action and being advised by counsel for Plaintiff, finds:

2.

This judgment applies to the entire estate condemned in Tract No. 1336M as such estate and tract are described in the Complaint filed in this action.

З.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and subject matter of this action.

Service of process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in subject tract.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint herein give the United States of America the right, power, and authority to condemn for public use the estate described above in paragraph 2. Pursuant thereto, on October 23, 1970, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tract of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$980.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, part of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of October 23, 1970.

6.

On the date of taking in this action, the defendants, Ruth Newby Jones, David Newby, Elaine N. Shepherd, Rosemary Newby Mullen, and Orie T. Price, were the owners of the estate taken in subject tract. They are the only persons asserting any interest in the estate taken in such tract. All other persons having either disclaimed or defaulted; therefore, said defendants named in this paragraph are entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment.

7.

The owners of the subject property named in paragraph 6 above and the United States of America have executed and filed herein a Stipulation As To Just Compensation wherein they have agreed that just compensation for the estate condemned in the subject tract is \$1,250.00, inclusive of interest, and such Stipulation should be approved.

8.

This judgment will create a \$270.00 deficiency between the amount deposited as estimated compensation for the subject property and the amount fixed by the Stipulation As to Just Compensation; and such \$270.00 deficiency should be deposited for the benefit of the owners.

THE COURT that the United States of America has the right, power, and authority to condemn for public use the tract named in paragraph 2 herein, as such tract is particularly described in the Complaint filed herein; and such tract, to the extent of the estate described in such Complaint, is condemned and title thereto is vested in the United States of America as of October 23, 1970, which was the date of taking thereof, and all defendants herein and all other persons interested in such estate are forever barred from aserting any claim to such estate.

10.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that on the date of taking, the defendants. Post is a second

11.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Stipulation As To Just Compensation, described in paragraph 7 above, hereby is confirmed; and the \$1,250.00, inclusive of interest, therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate condemned in the subject tract, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NO. 1336M

AWARD OF JUST COMPENSATION:		\$1,250.00
ALLOCATION OF AWARD: (pursuant to Stipulation)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	• ***
Oil, Gas, and Other Minerals \$1,250.00		
DEPOSIT OF ESTIMATED COMPENSATION		980.00

DEPOSIT DEFICIENCY

OWNERSHIP, DISTRIBUTION OF AWARD AND DISBURSAL:

Owners	Interest	Share of Award	Previously Disbursed	Balance Due
OIL, GAS AND OTHER MINE	RALS			
Ruth Newby Jones) David Newby) Elaine N. Shepherd) Rosemary Newby Mullen,) All As Joint Tenants	1/4	\$312.50	None	\$312. 50
Orie T. Price	3/4	\$937.50	\$917.50	\$ 20.00

12.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America shall deposit in the Registry of this Court, in this civil action, to the credit of the subject tract, the deficiency sum of \$270.00 and immediately following such deposit, the Clerk of this Court shall disburse therefrom the balance due the respective owners according to the Schedule in paragraph 11 above. The check to the owners of the 1/4 interest should be made payable to:

Elaine N. Shepherd, Individually and as Attorney-in-Fact for Ruth Newby Jones, David Newby, and Rosemary Newby Mullen.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

JACK M SHORT

Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

50.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,) STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND W. F. MOUTRAY, ET AL., AND UNKNOWN OWNERS,

Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 70-C-389

Tract No. 1108M

EILED,

HOV 1 1972

_, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT

Now, on this 16th day of November, 1972, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, and the Court, having examined the file in this action and being advised

by counsel for the Plaintiff, finds that:

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

This judgment applies to the entire estate taken in Tract No. 1108M as such estate and tract are described in the Complaint filed in this case.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tract.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on December 16, 1970, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tract of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$263.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, part of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of December 16, 1970.

6.

The Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tract, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$372.00.

7.

The Defendants named in paragraph 11 as owners of the estate taken in the subject tract are the only Defendants asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. The Court further finds that there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on this tract on the date of taking. Said named Defendants were the owners of various interests in the estate condemned herein as of the date of taking and, as such, are entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to their respective interests as set out in paragraph 11 below.

8.

This judgment creates a deficiency between the amount deposited as estimated just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract and the amount fixed by the Cor

and adopted by the Court as just compensation; therefore, a sum of money sufficient to cover such deficiency should be deposited by the Government. This deficiency is set out below in paragraph 11.

9.

THE COURT that the United States of America has the right, power and authority to condemn for public use the subject tract, as it is described in the Complaint filed herein, and such property, to the extent of the estate described in such Complaint, is condemned and title thereto is vested in the United States of America, as of December 16, 1970, which was the date of taking thereof, and all Defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

10.

THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owners of the various interests in the estate taken herein in the subject tract were the Defendants whose names appear below in paragraph 11 with the interest owned by each also shown therein and the right to receive the just compensation for such estate is vested in the parties so named; and, there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on this tract on the date of taking.

11.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby confirmed and the \$372.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NO. 110811

מתמיומ	OF	THET.	COMPENSATION:

A ARD OF JUST COMPENSATION:	
Total award for estate taken \$372.00	r ~
ALLOCATION OF AMARD: (pursuant to Commissioners' Report)	
To Lessee (Morking) interest \$175.00 To Overriding Royalty interest 22.00 To Lessor (Royalty) interest 175.00	
DEPOSIT OF ESTI ATED COMPENSATION	<u>0</u>
DEPOSIT DEFICIENCY	

OFNERSHIP, DISTRIBUTION OF AMARD AND DISBURSAL:

Owners	Interest	Share of Award	Previously Disbursed	Balance Due
LESSEE (WORKING)	INTEREST (31/3	2 of 7/8):		
W. F. Moutray	A11	\$175.00		\$109.00 Plus 6% erest from 16-70
OVERRIDING ROYAL		32 of 7/8):		6 22 22
Stanley P. Nunge	r All	\$ 22.00	None	\$ 22.00
LESSOR INTEREST	<u>(1/8)</u> :		:	
H. D. Weaver	A11	\$175.00	None	\$175.00

12.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America shall pay into the Registry of this Court for the benefit of the owner of the working interest the deposit deficiency for the subject tract as shown in paragraph 11 in the amount of \$109.00 together with interest on such deficiency at the rate of 6% per annum from December 16, 1970, until the date of deposit of such deficiency sum: and such sum shall be placed in the deposit for the subject tract in this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that when the deposit required by paragraph 11 above has been made by the Plaintiff, the Clerk of this Court shall then disburse, from the deposit in this case, the balance due the respective owners, plus accrued interest where indicated, according to the schedule in paragraph 11 above.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

JACK M. SHORT

Assistant United States Attorney

BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PIPELINE)
INDUSTRY BENEFIT FUND,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
VS.) No. 72-C-397
)
GREAT PLAINS CONSTRUCTION)
COMPANY,)
,	FILE
Defendant.	\
	NOV 1 1972
	, Clerk
ORDER OF DI	SMISSAL U. S. DISTRICT COURT

NOW, on this 14th day of November, 1972, Plaintiff's Motion for Dismissal coming on for consideration and counsel for Plaintiff herein representing and stating that all issues, controversies, debts and liabilities between the parties have been paid, settled and compromised,

IT IS THE ORDER OF THIS COURT that said action be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to the bringing of another or future action by the Plaintiff herein.

3/ Fred Juggety
District Judge

DEREK LEE WILSON,	Petitioner,)))
-vs-)) Case No. 72-C-402 Civil
STATE OF OKLAHOMA,		}
	Respondent.	FILED
		NUV 1 (1972
	ORDER OF 1	Clerk U, S, DISTRICT COURT

Petitioner applies for relief from his convictions in the District Court of Tulsa County on the ground that he was charged as an adult under the provisions of 10 Okl.St.Ann. §1101. On November 16, 1970, Petitioner was sentenced in five different 1/criminal cases to a maximum of twenty-five years following his pleas of guilty. Apparently, Petitioner was sixteen years old at the time of the commission of some of the crimes and seventeen years of age when the other crimes charged were committed and Petitioner received his sentences. Petitioner claims that he did not appeal is convictions because he did not know that he could not be constitutionally tried as an adult and thus that he did not understand his constitutional rights.

Petitioner has applied for and been denied post-conviction relief in the District Court of Tulsa County and the Court of

The charges included Burglary, second degree, Obtaining property by false pretenses, Robbery with firearms and Possession of narcotics.

Criminal Appeals. In those proceedings, he presented the same grounds as here presented. The Court finds and concludes that Petitioner has exhausted his available state remedies on this question. 28 U.S.C.A. §2254(c).

Petitioner relies solely and exclusively on the case of Lamb v. Brown, 456 F. 2d 16 (Tenth Cir. 1972) which declared 10 Okl.St.Ann. §1101(a) unconstitutional for the reason it contained an impermissible discrimination based on the sex of a juvenile offender. That decision was held to be not retroactive. 456 F. 2d at p. 20. Petitioner was sentenced on November 16, 1970, long before the decision in Lamb v. Brown, supra. Thus, his asserted ground for relief herein is without merit as a matter of law.

Petitioner made no allegation in his state post-conviction proceedings which required the determination of any fact and no such allegation is made here. An evidentiary hearing is therefore unnecessary. Inasmuch as Petitioner has failed to present any ground herein which would entitle him to relief under the provisions of 28 U.S.C.A. §2254, his Petition should be dismissed.

The Petition of Derek Lee Wilson, Petitioner, is hereby dismissed.

It is so ordered this 16 day of November, 1972.

Fred Daugherty / / United States District Judge

Derek L. Wilson v. State of Oklahoma, No. A-17737, Court of Criminal Appeals, Order dated September 28, 1972.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN

DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

	FILER
BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PIPELINE INDUSTRY BENEFIT FUND, Plaintiff,	NOV 1 5 (MZ) , Glerk U. S. DISTRICT COGR3
V5.) NO. 72-C-293
EAST TEXAS CONTRACTORS,)
Defendant.	j

JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT

The Summons and the Complaint in the above entitled action, having been duly served on the defendant, and the defendant is in default for failure to appear in this action, and the plaintiff has filled a Motion for Default Judgment and an affidavit of the amount due; it is

ORDERED that judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff above named, and against the defendant above named, in the sum of \$2,604.75, with interest thereon at the legal rate, attorney's fee in the amount of \$725.00, together with costs in the sum of \$18.00.

DATED at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this ______day of November, 1972.

BY THE COURT:

John States District Judge

BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PIPELINE) INDUSTRY BENEFIT FUND,)	
Plaintiff,)	
vs.	NO. 72-C-295F L L E D
H. L. GENTRY COMPANY, INC.,	NOV 1 6 1978
Defendant.	Olerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT

The Summons and the Complaint in the above entitled action, having been duly served on the defendant, and the defendant is in default for failure to appear in this action, and the plaintiff has filed a Motion for Default Judgment and an affidavit of the amount due; it is

BY THE COURT:

5. / allen & Barrow-United States District Judge

BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PIPELINE INDUSTRY BENEFIT FUND,) }
Plaintiff,)
VS.) NO. 72-C-296 [/]
UNDERGROUND ECOLOGY, INC.,)
Defendant.)

FILED

NOV 1 6 1972 1

JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

The Summons and the Complaint in the above entitled action, having been duly served on the defendant, and the defendant is in default for failure to appear in this action, and the plaintiff has filed a Motion for Default Judgment and an affidavit of the amount due; it is

ORDERED that judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff above named, and against the defendant above named, in the sum of \$337.14, with interest thereon at the legal rate, attorney's fee in the amount of \$400.00, together with costs in the sum of \$18.00.

DATED at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this _____day of November,

BY THE COURT:

United States District Judge

BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PIPELINE INDUSTRY BENEFIT FUND,))		
Plaintiff,)		
vs.)	No.	72-C-401
DONALDSON CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., Defendant.)		FILE D NOV 1 6 1972
ORDER OF DIS	MISSAL		U. S. DISTRICT COURT

NOW, on this 14th day of November, 1972, Plaintiff's Motion for Dismissal coming on for consideration and counsel for Plaintiff herein representing and stating that all issues, controversies, debt's and Ilabilities between the parties have been paid, settled and compromised,

IT IS THE ORDER OF THIS COURT that said action be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to the bringing of another or future action by the Plaintiff herein.

Luther Bohanon

District Judge

BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PIPELINE INDUSTRY BENEFIT FUND,)
Plaintiff,)
v s.	<i>} ∀∀∀*</i>) No. 72-C÷296
MICHELS PIPE LINE CONSTRUCTION, INC.,	FILED
Defendant.) NOV 1 5 1972
JUDGMENT BY DE	Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

The Summons and the Complaint in the above entitled action, having been duly served on the defendant, and the defendant is in default for failure to appear in this action, and the plaintiff has filed a Motion for Default Judgment and an affidavit of the amount due; it is

ORDERED that judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff above named, and against the defendant above named, in the sum of \$500.00, with interest thereon at the legal rate, attorney's fee in the amount of \$250.00, together with costs in the sum of \$18.00.

DATED at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this day of November,

BY THE COURT:

United States District Judge

United States of America,))
	Petitioner,	7 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
vs.	\ \ !	Civil No.
JAMES ROBERT BOYD,		
	Patient.	

ORDER

This day came on for consideration the petition of the United States in this cause; and it appearing to the Court that the patient has been fully advised of his rights as set forth in Title 42 U.S.C. Section 3411, et seq. (Title III, Section 301, wt seq. Public Law 89-793); and the Court having determined that there is reasonable cause to believe that the patient is a narcotic addict, and that there are not any appropriate State or other facilities available for his treatment pursuant to said law, it is hereby

ORDERED that the patient be committed to the custody of the Surgeon General for examination under Title 42 U.S.C. Section 3413 (Title III, Section 303, Public Law 89-793), to determine whether or not he is a narcotic addict who is likely to be rehabilitated. The written report required of each examining physician shall be filed with the Court and copies thereof furnished to the patient, not later than twenty (20) days after the patient is received at the facility hereinafter designated, and the patient shall be detained for an additional period of ten (10) days at the institution, pending further order of the Court. Provided, however, in the event both examining physicians conclude in their respective written reports that the patient is a narcotic addict who is likely to be rehabilitated through treatment, and, if the patient by written instrument filed with the Court along with, and at the same time ' as the reports of the examining physicians, waives any right he may have to notice and hearing on the issue as to whether or not he is a narcotic addict who is likely to be rehabilitated through treatment, and requests that he be forthwith committed to the care and custody of the Surgeon General for treatment in a hospital of the Service, rather than be returned to this Court for further proceedings, he shall be detained at said institution for a reasonable time after the expiration of thirty (30) days from the date he is received at said facility, pending further order of the Court.

Signed this 4 day of November, 19 72.

UNITED STATES WISTRICTXNURGE MAGISTRATE

* IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the patient report to The National Institute Mental Health Clinical Research Center at Lexington, Kentucky by 10 o'clock p.m., Wednesday, November 15, 1972.

United States of America,		
	Petitioner,	12 - 1 - 420
vs.	•	Civil No
DIAME LYNN BOYD,	,)
	Patient.	

ORDER

This day came on for consideration the petition of the United States in this cause; and it appearing to the Court that the patient has been fully advised of his rights as set forth in Title 42 U.S.C. Section 3411, et seq. (Title III, Section 301, wt seq. Public Law 89-793); and the Court having determined that there is reasonable cause to believe that the patient is a narcotic addict, and that there are not any appropriate State or other facilities available for his treatment pursuant to said law, it is hereby

ORDERED that the patient be committed to the custody of the Surgeon General for examination under Title 42 U.S.C. Section 3413 (Title III, Section 303, Public Law 89-793), to determine whether or not he is a narcotic addict who is likely to be rehabilitated. The written report required of each examining physician shall be filed with the Court and copies thereof furnished to the patient, not later than twenty (20) days after the patient is received at the facility hereinafter designated, and the patient shall be detained for an additional period of ten (10) days at the institution, pending further order of the Court. Provided, however, in the event both examining physicians conclude in their respective written reports that the patient is a narcotic addict who is likely to be rehabilitated through treatment, and, if the patient by written instrument filed with the Court along with, and at the same time ' . as the reports of the examining physicians, waives any right he may have to notice and hearing on the issue as to whether or not he is a narcotic addict who is likely to be rehabilitated through treatment, and requests that he be forthwith committed to the care and custody of the Surgeon General for treatment in a hospital of the Service, rather than be returned to this Court for further proceedings, he shall be detained at said institution for a reasonable time after the expiration of thirty (30) days from the date he is received at said facility, pending further order of the Court.

* PKMEXRMMEN XXDEREX HEE HEE YEELSHE XELLX AS YEELSH YEELY AS A SEARCH YEELSHE YEELSHE XILK YEELSHE YEELSHA YEELSHE YE

UNITED STATES NISTRICIXAVENE MAGISTRATE

* IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the patient report to The National Institute Mental Health Clinical Research Center at Lexington, Kentucky by 10 o'clock p.m., Wednesday, November 15, 1972.

NOW 1 1 1972
U. S. DISTRICT, COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

vs.

50.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR)
LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,)
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND F. A.)
CALVERT, ET AL., AND UNKNOWN)
OWNERS,

Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 71-C-91

Tract No. 1946M

JUDGMENT

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

3.

This judgment applies to the entire estate taken in Tract No. 1946M as such estate and tract are described in the Complaint filed in this case.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tract.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on March 25, 1971, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tract of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$880.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, none of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of March 25, 1971.

6.

The Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tract, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$880.00.

7.

The Defendants named in paragraph 10 as owners of the estate taken in the subject tract are the only Defendants asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. The Court further finds that there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on this tract on the date of taking. Said named Defendants were the owners of various interests in the estate condemned herein as of the date of taking and, as such, are entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to their respective interests as set out in paragraph 10 below.

8.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the United States of America has the right,

power and authority to condemn for public use the subject tract, as it is described in the Complaint filed herein, and such property, to the extent of the estate described in such Complaint, is condemned and title thereto is vested in the United States of America, as of March 25, 1971, which was the date of taking thereof, and all Defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

9.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owners
of the various interests in the estate taken herein in the
subject tract were the Defendants whose names appear below
in paragraph 10 with the interest owned by each also shown
therein and the right to receive the just compensation for
such estate is vested in the parties so named; and, there
was a subsisting oil and gas lease on this tract on the date
of taking.

10.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby confirmed and the \$880.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NO. 1946M

AWARD OF JUST COMPENSATION:

OWNERSHIP, DISTRIBUTION OF AWARD AND DISBURSAL:

Owners	Interest	Share of Award	Previously Disbursed	Balance Due
LESSEE (WORKING) 1	INTEREST:			
Nowata, Inc.		\$630.00	None	\$630.00
LESSOR INTEREST:				
Julian W. Glass, J Trustee for: Ev Glass, Ernest Fr and Julian W. Gl	a Payne ances Bradfield	\$125.00	None	\$125.00
Horace K. Calvert Aileen S. Calver		\$125.00	None	\$125.00
(Assignees of F. A. Calvert in conveyance dated September 22, 1951, Recorded in Book 348 at Page 133 in the office of the County Clerk, Nowata County, Oklahoma)				

11.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the Clerk of this Court shall forthwith disburse the award for the subject tract from the deposit on hand by paying each owner his balance due as shown above in paragraph 10.

/s/ Luther Bohanon
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Jack M. Short

JACK M. SHORT
Assistant United States Attorney

HUGH A.	KERR,)
		Plaintiff,	
v.			CIVIL NO. 71-C-242
THE UNI	red states of	AMERICA,)
		Defendant.	FILED
			NOV 9 1972 C
			., Clerk

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

U, S. DISTRICT COURT

It is hereby stipulated and agreed that the above entitled action be dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs.

Donald P. Moyers

Charles B. Tetrick

maries D. Tection

Attorneys for Plaintiff

NATHAN G. GRAHAM United States Attorney

ROBERT P. SANTEE
Assistant U. S. Attorney

Attorney for Defendant.

IEU:1g 11/3/72

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA FILED TULSA BUILDING SUPPLY CO. INC., NOV 9 1972 a corporation, Clerk Plaintiff U. S. DISTRICT COURT No. 72-C-297

DEXTER LOCK DIVISION OF KYSOR INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION, a corporation,

Defendant

ORDER SUSTAINING MOTION TO DISMISS

_day of November 1972, there having come on for consideration by the undersigned United States District Judge the Motion to Dismiss the action of the plaintiff filed herein and the Court having considered the said Motion to Dismiss and the brief in support of the said motion finds that the Motion to Dismiss the action of the plaintiff should be sustained and that the action be dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THIS COURT that the Motion to Dismiss filed herein by the defendant as to the plaintiff s action filed herein be and the same is hereby sustained and the said action as contained in the above styled and numbered matter be and the same is hereby dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff herein.

United States District Judge

APPROVED:

WOODSON & GASAWAY

ABEL, UNGERMAN & LEITER

LAW OFFICES UNGERMAN, GRABEL UNGERMAN

& LEITER

SIXTH FLOOR WRIGHT BUILDING TULBA, OKLAHOMA

ROBERT EARL JOHNSON,)	· ·
)	
Petitioner,)	/
)	/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
vs.)	72-C-85 V
)	
PARK J. ANDERSON, Warden,)	A pres gar
Oklahoma State Penitentiary,)	FILED
McAlester, Oklahoma, et al,)	D (4073 Z
,)	Peri (1972 - {)
Respondent.	ý	to the second se
	,	
	ORDER	U, S. OBJERICT COURT

THE COURT, having examined the files and records of this proceeding, which included a transcript of the records of the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and the Order of the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Oklahoma together with the Second Report of the United States Magistrate concerning the same and being fully advised in the premises, FINDS:

- The petitioner has exhausted the remedies available in the courts of the State of Oklahoma.
- 2. An evidentiary hearing is not required, since the petition filed herein and the records and files examined by the court conclusively show that petitioner is not entitled to relief and no factual issues are raised.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED:

- 1. The Petition for Habeas Corpus filed herein is dismissed.
- 2. That a copy of this Order be mailed by the Acting Clerk of this Court together with a copy of the Second Report of the United States Magistrate to the petitioner.
- 3. That the Acting Clerk of this Court furnish to the respondent a copy of this Order, together with a copy of the Second

Report of the United States Magistrate, by mailing same to the Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma.

Dated this 7th day of Myumber, 1972.

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM JOS	EPH LEE,)	
)	
	Petitioner,)	•
)	./
vs.)	72-C-162 V
)	F 1 7 72 3
PARK J. AND	ERSON, WARDEN,)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
ET AL,)	MOV (19 72)
)	2007 (1972) 2007 (1972) 2007 (1972)
	Respondent.)	u e previos
			U. S. DISTE OF CO.
		ORDER	

THE COURT, having examined the Petition for Writ of

Habeas Corpus filed herein by the Acting Clerk, and having

further examined the Second Report of the United States Magistrate

concerning the same and being fully advised in the premises, FINDS:

1. It does not appear that the petitioner has exhausted the remedies available in the courts of the State of Oklahoma, or that there is either an absence of available state corrective process or the existence of circumstances rendering such process ineffective to protect the rights of the petitioner. 22 U.S.C.A. \$ 2254. Although the petitioner did pursue an unsuccessful direct appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Oklahoma: concerning his grounds for Writ of Habeas Corpus, he has agnored the state post conviction remedy provided by 22 O.S.A. \$ 1080 end of the institution of a post conviction action in a state sentencing court is a prerequisite to the granting of habeas corpus relief this court. (Hoggatt vs. Page, 432 F.2d 41 [C.A. 10 1968] and Foundation of the courts of the courts. (Hoggatt vs. Page, 432 F.2d 41 [C.A. 10 1968] and Foundation of the courts of the cour

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED:

1. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied and the case is dismissed.

- 2. That a copy of this Order be mailed by the Acting Clerk of this Court to the petitioner together with a copy of the Second Report of the United States Magistrate.
- 3. That a copy of this Order be mailed by the Acting Clerz of this Court to the respondent by mailing the same to the Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma, together with a copy of the Second Report of the United States Magistrate.

Dated this 7th day of Marinhe, 1972.

CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JOE C. AVANTS,)	
Petitioner,)	/
vs.))	72-C-188
THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA EX REL PARK ANDERSON, WARDEN,)))	JACK C. SILVER-ACTING
Respondent.)	U. S. DIS. GOT CO.
	ORDER	

THE COURT having examined the Petition for Writ of Habeas
Corpus filed herein by the Acting Clerk, and having further examined
the Initial Report of the United States Magistrate concerning the
same and being fully advised in the premises, FINDS:

- That the plea of guilty by petitioner was made voluntarily with understanding of the nature of the charges and the consequences of his plea;
- 2. The plea of guilty by the petitioner waived all non-jurisdictional defenses;
- 3. Since petitioner had been found guilty as a result of his plea of guilty the failure of the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma and the State Criminal Court of Appeals for the State of Oklahoma denying right to bail did not violate any of the constitutional rights of petitioner and further, there was a showing that the state engaged in discriminatory or arbitrary administration of the bail system.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED:

- 1. That the Petition for Writ of Habeas is denied.
- 2. That a copy of this Order be mailed by the Acting Clerk of this Court to the petitioner together with a copy of the Initial Report of the United States Magistrate.

3. That the Acting Clerk of this Court furnish to respondent a copy of this Order, together with a copy of the Instable Report of the United States Magistrate, by mailing the same to the Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma.

Dated this 7th day of Mounte, 1972.

CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JEVERNO STATES DISTRICT COLUMNIAN MA MONTHERN DISTRICT COLUMNIAN MA

COL UNTILD STATE AND PARMERS STATE Chlahoma, an Chia Corporation.	MANUE, Afton,)))		. - 11			h.j	- 1 - 17 - 1
	Plaintiffs,) }						
**** •		į́	No			A providence a manife	···	
SARLO. GARMAH,)		V	Ñ	Š Čepos		ğ.,
	Jelendant.	;		,	d Hy	ř.	1147	
	100 RNAL ENT	ry of Ludgme	NT	H 3	<u> </u>	STŖI	0 , 01, 00	lerk OUR

Now on this <u>G</u> day of November, 1971, this cause comes on for trial pursuant to regular setting. The parties appeared by their respective counsel and the court being fully advised in the premises and an consideration thereof, finds the issues in general in favor of the plaintiffs.

BE IT THEORYORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that pursuant to the provisions of Title 23, U.S.C.A., Section 2465, the segregated fund in the approximate sum of \$60,465.45 being held by The United States of America and identified as moneys wrongfully appropriated by defendant Earl C. Carman and belonging to plaintiff farmers State Bank, Afton, Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Banking Corporation, is ordered returned forthwith by The United States of America and its agency the Federal Bureau of Investigation to Farmers state Bank, Afton, Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Banking Corporation, and free from any claim of defendant Earl C. Garman, and that the parties herein bear their respective costs, nor shall the person who made the saizure of said neartibed fund, nor any prosecutor, be liable to sair or judgment on account of any self or prosecution with reference to said fund above described.

5/ 1/3 m & from home

Mathan B. Brokom US.G. Mithe S. M. C.R. M. Civel Service

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

vs.

120.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR)
LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,)
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND JOE L.)
BARTHEL, ET AL., AND UNKNOWN)
OWNERS,

Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 70-C-385 V

Tract Nos. 1268M and 1269M

FILED

JUDGMENT

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Now, on this ______day of November, 1972, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on the Amended Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 31, 1972, and the Court, having examined the file in this action and being advised by counsel for the Plaintiff, finds that:

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

З.

This judgment applies to the entire estate taken in Tract Nos. 1268M and 1269M as such estate and tracts are described in the Complaint filed in this case.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tracts.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on December 9, 1970, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tracts of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$7,355.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, part of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of December 9, 1970.

6.

The Amended Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 31, 1972, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tracts, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$11,500.00.

7.

The Defendants named in paragraph 11 as owners of the estate taken in the subject tracts are the only Defendants asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. The Court further finds that there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on Tract 1268M on the date of taking. Said named Defendants were the owners of various interests in the estate condemned herein as of the date of taking and, as such, are entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to their respective interests as set out in paragraph 11 below.

8.

This judgment creates a deficiency between the amount deposited as estimated just compensation for the estate taken

in the subject tracts and the amount fixed by the Commission and adopted by the Court as just compensation; therefore, a sum of money sufficient to cover such deficiency should be deposited by the Government. This deficiency is set out below in paragraph 11.

9.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that the United States of America has the right,
power and authority to condemn for public use the subject
tracts, as they are described in the Complaint filed herein,
and such property, to the extent of the estate described in
such Complaint, is condemned and title thereto is vested in
the United States of America, as of December 9, 1970, which
was the date of taking thereof, and all Defendants herein
and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any
claim to such estate.

10.

THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owners of the various interests in the estate taken herein in the subject tracts were the Defendants whose names appear below in paragraph 11 with the interest owned by each also shown therein and the right to receive the just compensation for such estate is vested in the parties so named; and, there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on Tract 1268M on the date of taking.

11.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Amended Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 31, 1972, is hereby confirmed and the \$11,500.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tracts, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NOS. 1268M and 1269M

AWARD OF JUST COMPENSATION:

Total award for estate taken \$11,500.00 ALLOCATION OF AWARD:

(pursuant to Commissioners' Report)

To Lessee (Working) interest . . . \$10,000.00 To Lessor (Royalty) interest . . . 1,500.00

OWNERSHIP, DISTRIBUTION OF AWARD AND DISBURSAL:

Owners	Interest	Share of Award	Previously Disbursed	Balance Due			
LESSEE (WORKING) INTEREST:							
Joe L. Barthel	3/4	\$7,500.00	\$4,612.50	\$2,887.50			
Gloria M. R. Clifton	1/4	\$2,500.00	\$1,537.50	\$ 962. 50			
LESSOR INTEREST:				-			
Elizabeth Fell Oven Now Mrs. Elizabeth		\$118.13	None	\$ 118.13.			
Frances Fell Kilpatrick Now Mrs Wallace Malone		\$118.12	None	\$ 118.12			
Jno. W. Nichols, Trustee	37% of 1/4	\$138.75	None	\$ 138.75			
H. M. McMillan	1/4	\$375.00	None	\$ 375.00			
June H. Collins	1/4	\$375.00	None	\$ 375.00			
Wells T. Sager (Edgar C. Sager is Wells T. Sager has his only heir.)		\$375.00	None	\$ 375.00			

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America shall pay into the Registry of this Court for the benefit of the owners the deposit deficiency

for the subject tracts as shown in paragraph 11 in the amount of \$4,145.00 together with interest on such deficiency at the rate of 6% per annum from December 9, 1970, until the date of deposit of such deficiency sum; and such sum shall be placed in the deposit for the subject tracts in this action.

13.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that when the deposit required by paragraph 11 above has been made by the Plaintiff, the Clerk of this Court shall then disburse, from the deposit in this case, the balance due the respective owners with all accrued interest, according to the schedule in paragraph 11 above.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT DUDGE

APPROVED:

JACK M. SHORT

Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 71-C-92 Tract No. 1948M

60.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR)
LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,)
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND LUCILE)
VINCENT, ET AL., AND UNKNOWN)
OWNERS,)

Defendants.)

NOV 3 1972

JUDGMENT

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

1.

Now, on this And day of November, 1972, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, and the Court, having examined the file in this action and being advised by counsel for the Plaintiff, finds that:

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

З.

This judgment applies to the entire estate taken in Tract No. 1948M as such estate and tract are described in the Complaint filed in this case.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tract.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on March 25, 1971, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tract of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$590.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, part of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of March 25, 1971.

6.

The Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tract, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$590.00.

7.

The Defendants named in paragraph 10 as owners of the estate taken in the subject tract are the only Defendants asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. The Court further finds that there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on this tract on the date of taking. Said named Defendants were the owners of various interests in the estate condemned herein as of the date of taking and, as such, are entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to their respective interests as set out in paragraph 10 below.

8.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the United States of America has the right,

power and authority to condemn for public use the subject tract, as it is described in the Complaint filed herein, and such property, to the extent of the estate described in such Complaint, is condemned and title thereto is vested in the United States of America, as of March 25, 1971, which was the date of taking thereof, and all Defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

9.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owners
of the various interests in the estate taken herein in the
subject tract were the Defendants whose names appear below
in paragraph 10 with the interest owned by each also shown
therein and the right to receive the just compensation for
such estate is vested in the parties so named; and, there
was a subsisting oil and gas lease on this tract on the date
of taking.

10.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby confirmed and the \$590.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NO. 1948M

AWARD OF JUST COMPENSATION:

(pursuant to Commissioners' Report)

To Lessee (Working) interest . . . \$290.00 To Lessor (Royalty) interest . . . 300.00

OWNERSHIP, DISTRIBUTION OF AWARD AND DISBURSAL:

Owners	Interest	Share of Award	Previously Disbursed	Balance Due
LESSEE (WORKING) INTEREST:				
Nowata, Inc.		\$290.00	None	\$290.00
LESSOR INTEREST:				
Lucile Vincent	7/30	\$ 70.00	None	\$ 70.00
Roy W. Wilkinson	7/30	\$ 70.00	\$70.00	-0-
Maude Anna Blecha	7/30	\$ 70.00	None	\$ 70.00
Hugh S. Wilkinson	2/30	\$ 20.00	None	\$ 20.00
Lucile M. Wilkinson and Mercantile Tr Co., Co-Executors Estate of John F. Wilkinson	ust of	\$ 70.00	None	\$ 70.00

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the Clerk of this Court shall forthwith disburse the award for the subject tract from the deposit on hand by paying each owner his balance due as shown above in paragraph 10.

/s/ Luther Bohanon
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Jack M. Short

JACK M. SHORT Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 71-C-206

10.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR

LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND HORACE

K. CALVERT, ET AL., AND UNKNOWN)

OWNERS,

Defendants.)

FILED

JACK C. SILVES - AST CHIR U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT

Now, on this day of November, 1972, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, and the Court, having examined the file in this action and being advised by counsel for the Plaintiff, finds that:

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

З.

This judgment applies to the entire estate taken in Tract No. 1902M as such estate and tract are described in the Complaint filed in this case.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tract.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on June 1, 1971, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tract of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$150.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, none of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of June 1, 1971.

6

The Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tract, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$204.00.

7.

The Defendants named in paragraph 11 as owners of the estate taken in the subject tract are the only Defendants asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. The Court further finds that there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on this tract on the date of taking. Said named Defendants were the owners of various interests in the estate condemned herein as of the date of taking and, as such, are entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to their respective interests as set out in paragraph 11 below.

8.

This judgment creates a deficiency between the amount deposited as estimated just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract and the amount fixed by the Commission

and adopted by the Court as just compensation; therefore, a sum of money sufficient to cover such deficiency should be deposited by the Government. This deficiency is set out below in paragraph 11.

9.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that the United States of America has the right,
power and authority to condemn for public use the subject tract,
as it is described in the Complaint filed herein, and such
property, to the extent of the estate described in such Complaint,
is condemned and title thereto is vested in the United States
of America, as of June 1, 1971, which was the date of taking
thereof, and all Defendants herein and all other persons are
forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

10.

THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owners of the various interests in the estate taken herein in the subject tract were the Defendants whose names appear below in paragraph 11 with the interest owned by each also shown therein and the right to receive the just compensation for such estate is vested in the parties so named; and, there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on this tract on the date of taking.

11.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby confirmed and the \$204.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NO. 1902M

AWARD OF JUST COMPENSATION:

Total award for estate taken \$204.00 ALLOCATION OF AWARD:

(pursuant to Commissioners' Report)

To Lessee (Working) interest . . . \$ 20.00 To Lessor (Royalty) interest . . . 184.00

OWNERSHIP, DISTRIBUTION OF AWARD AND DISBURSAL:

Owners	Interest	Share of Award	Previously Disbursed	Balance Due
LESSEE (WORKING) I	NTEREST:			
Square H. Industri	es, Inc.	\$ 20.00	None	\$20.00
LESSOR INTEREST:				
Horace K. Calvert Aileen S. Calver		\$ 92.00	None	\$92.00
Julian W. Glass, J Trustee for: Ev Ernest Frances E Julian W. Glass,	a Payne Glass radfield and	\$ 92.0 0	None	\$92.00

12.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America shall pay into the Registry of this Court for the benefit of the owners the deposit deficiency for the subject tract as shown in paragraph 11 in the amount of \$54.00 together with interest on such deficiency at the rate of 6% per annum from June 1, 1971, until the date of deposit of such deficiency sum; and such sum shall be placed in the deposit for the subject tract in this action.

13.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that when the deposit required by paragraph 11 above has been made by the Plaintiff, the Clerk

of this Court shall then disburse, from the deposit in this case, the balance due the respective owners with all accrued interest, according to the schedule in paragraph 11 above.

/s/ Luther Bohanon

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Jack M. Short

JACK M. SHORT Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,) CIVIL ACTION NO. 71-C-291 VS. 40.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR Tract No. 1542M LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,) STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND KARNA M.) STRATTON, ET AL., AND UNKNOWN OWNERS, Defendants.) 360° C NOV 8 1972; ., Clark JUDGMENT U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Now, on this And day of November, 1972, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, and the Court, having examined the file in this action and being advised by counsel for the Plaintiff, finds that:

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

З.

This judgment applies to the entire estate taken in Tract No. 1542M as such estate and tract are described in the Complaint filed in this case.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tract.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on August 10, 1971, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tract of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$200.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, none of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of August 10, 1971.

6.

The Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tract, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$200.00.

7.

The Defendant named in paragraph 10 as owner of the estate taken in the subject tract is the only Defendant asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. Said named Defendant was the owner of the estate condemned herein as of the date of taking and, as such, is entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to her interest as set out in paragraph 10 below.

8.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that the United States of America has the right,
power and authority to condemn for public use the subject
tract, as it is described in the Complaint filed herein, and

such property, to the extent of the estate described in such Complaint, is condemned and title thereto is vested in the United States of America, as of August 10, 1971, which was the date of taking thereof, and all Defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

9.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owner
of the interest in the estate taken herein in the subject
tract was the Defendant whose name appears below in paragraph 10
with the interest owned also shown therein and the right to
receive the just compensation for such estate is vested in
the party so named.

10.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby confirmed and the \$200.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NO. 154211

Trustee under last Will and Testament of Forrest L. Stratton	
AWARD OF JUST COMPENSATION (Pursuant to Commissioners' Report) \$20	0.00
DEPOSIT OF ESTIMATED COMPENSATION \$20	0.00
PREVIOUSLY DISBURSED	one
BALANCE DUE OWNER	0.00

11.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the Clerk of this Court shall forthwith disburse the award for the subject tract from the deposit on hand by paying the owner her balance due as shown above in paragraph 10.

/s/ Luther Bohanon

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Jack M. Short

JACK M. SHORT Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WILMA LOUISE MORELAND,

Plaintiff,

-vs
No. 72-C-31

JAMES RAY MORELAND, JOHN L.

EVANS, KENNY F. CLOSE, PATRICK J.

BALLARD, JAMES L. HOLT AND GLENN)

CODDING,

Defendants.

Defendants.

JOURNAL ENTRY

This matter coming on to be heard this date by the Court upon the stipulation of the Plaintiff and the Defendants on file herein and the Court being well and sufficiently advised in said cause and upon consideration thereof finds that said Stipulation should be approved by the Court and that the following consent injunction be and the same is hereby entered, to-wit:

That said Defendants are restrained and enjoined from in any manner:

- (1) Harrassing said Plaintiff by keeping said Plaintiff under surveillance or following said Plaintiff in and about the streets of Bartlesville, Oklahoma, and Washington County, Oklahoma, without justifiable cause.
- (2) From in any manner interferring with Plaintiff's right to engage in the lawful pursuit of her business affairs as operator of a Security Patrol business in and about the City of Bartlesville and County of Washington, Oklahoma, and from conducting a Detective Investigation Agency and acting as agent therefor.
- (3) From in any manner, without justifiable or probable cause, either individually or in concert with each other or others, depriving Plaintiff of the rights guaranteed her by the constitution of the United States of America.

- (4) From denying Plaintiff access to all public records of the County
 Office of Washington County, Oklahoma, or any City office of the City of
 Bartlesville, Oklahoma, under the jurisdiction of the Defendants or any of
 said Defendants.
- (5) From any acts or act which would deny Plaintiff herein equal rights and privileges afforded any other qualified person in the event it becomes necessary for Plaintiff to obtain a permit for the purpose of carrying a firearm in the lawful course of her business pursuits as hereinabove outlined.
- (6) To do no act or acts in violation of the above set forth purposes and the intention of the aforesaid injunction.

Done in open Court this 3nd day of Tonember 1972.

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

my france in legendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TROY H. BROWN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 72-C-186

PACIFIC MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY and METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendants.

EILED NOV-21972

ORDER OF DISMISSAL U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Now on this 16th day of October, 1972, this matter comes on for hearing upon the Motions for Summary Judgment filed by the defendants. Plaintiff appeared by his counsel of record, Stipe, Gossett & Stipe by Mr. Gene Stipe, and the defendants appeared by their attorneys of record, Gable, Gotwals, Hays, Rubin & Fox.

Prior to hearing the Motions, the plaintiff, acting through his attorney, requested permission to dismiss this action without prejudice. After due consideration, the Court accepts the dismissal without prejudice and hereby orders the same dismissed without prejudice.

Honorable Luther Bohanon

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

STIPE, GOSSETT & STIPE

Attorneys for Plaintif

GABLE, GOTWALS, HAYS, RUBIN & FOX

Attorneys for Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WILLIAM F. PITZER and MYRTLE M. PITZER, husband and wife,)
Plaintiffs,)
vs.) No. 70-C-396
ROBERT W. PHILLIPS and DELORES G. PHILLIPS, husband and wife,	FILE
Defendants.) NOV 2 1972
	U. S. DISTRICT COUR

ORDER SUSTAINING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF MAGISTRATE
AND
FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT

on this / day of /// May of /// 1972, the findings and recommendations heretofore made by the U. S. Magistrate, Morris L. Bradford, on the /ga day of Action 1972.

This Court finds as follows:

- 1. That no objections or other responses have been made or filed by the parties litigant to the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate.
- 2. The findings of fact by the Magistrate are correct and should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the funds on deposit in the Court Registry in this matter as set forth in the application of the Defendant, First City Bank of Springfield, which constitute an existing surplus derived through the receivership from the realty which is the subject matter of this action, be distributed in toto to the Defendant, First City Bank of Springfield as a part of the res in accordance with its second order of priority established under the Journal Entry of Judgment herein, Plaintiff's first priority under the same judgment having heretofore been satisfied in full.

IT IS PURTURE OPDIRED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the portions of the Process I, United States of America, as substitute party for the foods business Administration, and the motion of the state of the

Defendant, Joseph Turner, to apply such surplus funds to their respective judgments be and the same are hereby overruled.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff's objections to the proposed order of the Defendant, First City Bank of Springfield, directing payment to it of such surplusage of funds be and the same are hereby overruled.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the motion of the Defendant, 1200 Corporation, for deficiency judgment, in the absence of objection and upon the merits of the application be and the same is hereby granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the remaining portion of the application of the Defendant, First City Bank of Springfield, requesting further accounting herein by the receiver and Plaintiff of funds in addition to those on adeposit with the Court Registry be and the same is hereby denied.

ALLEN E. BARROW, JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

60.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR)
LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,)
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND SQUARE)
H. INDUSTRIES, INC., ET AL.,
AND UNKNOWN OWNERS,

Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 71-C-93

Tract No. 1951M

U S DISTRICT COURT

90V 2 1972

JUDGMENT

Now, on this 3/ day of Later. 1972, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, and the Court, having examined the file in this action and being advised by counsel for the Plaintiff, finds that:

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

3.

This judgment applies to the entire estate taken in Tract No. 1951M as such estate and tract are described in the Complaint filed in this case.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tract.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on March 25, 1971, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tract of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$300.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, none of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of March 25, 1971.

6.

The Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tract, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$300.00.

7.

The Defendant named in paragraph 10 as owner of the estate taken in the subject tract is the only Defendant asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. Said named Defendant was the owner of the estate condemned herein as of the date of taking and, as such, is entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to its interest as set out in paragraph 10 below.

8.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that the United States of America has the right,
power and authority to condemn for public use the subject tract,
as it is described in the Complaint filed herein, and such
property, to the extent of the estate described in such Complaint,

is condemned and title thereto is vested in the United States of America, as of March 25, 1971, which was the date of taking thereof, and all Defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

9.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY
THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owner
of the interest in the estate taken herein in the subject tract
was the Defendant whose name appears below in paragraph 10 with
the interest owned also shown therein and the right to receive
the just compensation for such estate is vested in the party so
named.

10.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Report of Commissioners filed herein on October 12, 1972, is hereby confirmed and the \$300.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tract, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

Tract No. 1951M

OWNER: Nowata, Inc.

11.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the Clerk of this Court shall forthwith disburse the award for the subject tract from the deposit on hand by paying Nowata, Inc. its \$300.00 balance due as shown above in paragraph 10.

/s/ Luther Bohanon

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Jack M. Short

JACK M. SHORT Assistant United States Attorney

Heart organization for the back of the Countries

MO COMES LOWING MICE DESCRIBERS OF CHEOMS SOLD

GAMMO N. 100% Fed. Scener of education Undated States Committeed of Subor Plainciff CIVIL /CITON File No. 72-C-187 JAME FRILLIES BUTSCOPPE ROSSTED, INC., doing business as JAMS PHILLIPS HOUR COL Defendant STEP S VOM.

ORDER OF DISMISSIAG

الأخط

U. S. DISTRICT COURT Plaintiff having filed his complaint herein, and thereafter defendant, Jane Phillips Episcopal Hospital, Inc., doing business as Jane Phillips Hospital, having assured plaintiff and this court that it will comply in the future with the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, and defendant having heretofore entered into a stipulation of compliance, wherein it specifically agrees to comply with all pertinent provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, and defendant having agreed to pay to plaintiff \$8,028.23 as unpaid minimum wages and overtime compensation for the use and benefit of certain of defendant's present and former employees;

It is, therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the above styled and numbered cause be, and the same hereby is, dismissed with prejudice.

promone Lynn of Boundary 1919.

UNION OFFERS DESTRICT CONST.